| | 1 | |
|----|--|----|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE | |
| 2 | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | January 26, 2012 - 10:02 a.m. | |
| 5 | Concord, New Hampshire NHPUC FEB09'12 PM 3:44 | 1 |
| | | |
| 6 | RE: DE 11-255 PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE: | |
| 7 | Renewable Default Energy Service Rate. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding | |
| 10 | Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius Commissioner Clifton C. Below | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Sandy Deno, Clerk | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | APPEARANCES: Reptg. Public Service Company of New Hampshire: | |
| 15 | Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Meredith Hatfield, Esq., Consumer Advocate | è |
| 18 | Office of Consumer Advocate | |
| 19 | Reptg. PUC Staff: | |
| 20 | Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Al-Azad Iqbal, Electric Division | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. | 52 |
| | | |
| 24 | | |
| | | 1 |
| | ORIGINA | L |

| 1 | | |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 2 | INDEX | |
| 3 | | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | WITNESS: RICHARD H. BRANCH | |
| 5 | Direct examination by Ms. Knowlton | 4, 8 |
| 6 | Interrogatories by Cmsr. Ignatius | 7, 19 |
| 7 | Cross-examination by Ms. Hatfield | 8 |
| 8 | Cross-examination by Ms. Amidon | 12 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | * * * | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | EXHIBITS | |
| 13 | EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 14 | 1 Testimony of Richard H. Branch, including attachments (11-29-11) | 4 |
| 15 | including accachments (ii 2) ii) | |
| 16 | * * * | |
| 17 | | |
| | | |
| 18 | CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: | PAGE NO. |
| 18 19 | CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: Ms. Hatfield | PAGE NO. 20 |
| | | |
| 19 | Ms. Hatfield | 20 |
| 19 20 | Ms. Hatfield Ms. Amidon | 20 22 |
| 19 20 21 | Ms. Hatfield Ms. Amidon | 20 22 |
| 19 20 21 22 | Ms. Hatfield Ms. Amidon | 20 22 |

2

| 1 | PROCEEDING |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning. |
| 3 | We're going to open the hearing in Docket DE 11-255. On |
| 4 | November 29, 2011, Public Service Company of New Hampshire |
| 5 | filed a petition to revise its Renewable Energy Service |
| 6 | rate. We issued an order suspending the tariff and |
| 7 | scheduling a prehearing conference. That order was issued |
| 8 | on December 15th. Subsequent to the prehearing |
| 9 | conference, a procedural schedule was approved, |
| 10 | culminating in the hearing for this morning. |
| 11 | So, let's take appearances please. |
| 12 | MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning, Chairman |
| 13 | Getz, Commissioners Below and Ignatius. My name is Sarah |
| 14 | Knowlton. I'm Senior Counsel at Public Service Company of |
| 15 | New Hampshire. And, with me today is Steve Hall; our |
| 16 | witness, Richard Branch; and Heather Arvanitis. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. |
| 18 | MS. HATFIELD: Good morning, |
| 19 | Commissioners. Meredith Hatfield, for the Office of |
| 20 | Consumer Advocate, on behalf of residential ratepayers. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. |
| 22 | MS. AMIDON: Suzanne Amidon, for |
| 23 | Commission Staff. With me today is Al-Azad Iqbal with the |
| 24 | Electric Division. |
| - | |

[WITNESS: Branch]

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. Are you 2 ready to proceed? 3 MS. KNOWLTON: I am. The Company has one exhibit that we'd like to mark for identification, 4 5 which is the Direct Testimony of Richard H. Branch, and 6 with the accompanying attachments. 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. It will be marked for identification as "Exhibit 1". 8 (The document, as described, was 9 10 herewith marked as **Exhibit 1** for 11 identification.) 12 MS. KNOWLTON: The Company calls its 13 witness Richard H. Branch. 14 (Whereupon Richard H. Branch was duly 15 sworn by the Court Reporter.) 16 MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning. 17 WITNESS BRANCH: Good morning. 18 RICHARD H. BRANCH, SWORN 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. KNOWLTON: 21 Mr. Branch, would you please state your full name for Q. 22 the record. 23 Sure. Richard H. Branch. Α. 24 By whom are you employed? Q.

{DE 11-255} {01-26-12}

4

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | A. | Public Service of New Hampshire. |
| 2 | Q. | What is your position with the Company? |
| 3 | A. | I'm an Analyst in the Business Planning and Customer |
| 4 | | Support Services Group. |
| 5 | Q. | Would you describe your job responsibilities. |
| 6 | Α. | Yes. Sure. I produce an economic sales forecast for |
| 7 | | the Company, as well as economic reports for senior |
| 8 | | management, as well as manage the EarthSmart Green |
| 9 | | Rate. |
| 10 | Q. | I'm going to ask you a few questions about what we |
| 11 | | marked for identification as "Exhibit 1", which is your |
| 12 | | prefiled direct testimony in this case. Do you have |
| 13 | | that before you? |
| 14 | Α. | I do. |
| 15 | Q. | And, was that testimony prepared by you or under your |
| 16 | | direction? |
| 17 | Α. | Yes. |
| 18 | Q. | Do you have any corrections to it today? |
| 19 | A. | I have several updates. |
| 20 | Q. | Updates. Okay. Would you walk us through those |
| 21 | | updates, starting with telling us what page and what |
| 22 | | line you have that update to? |
| 23 | A. | Absolutely. On Page 4, Line 18, "676 megawatt-hours" |
| 24 | | should read "711". Line 20, same page, "663 Class I |
| | | |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | | RECs" should read "697 Class I RECs". |
| 2 | Q. | And, actually, if I might stop you for a minute, |
| 3 | | Mr. Branch. |
| 4 | Α. | Sure. |
| 5 | Q. | When you say "updates", can you just explain briefly |
| 6 | | why you're giving us these new numbers? |
| 7 | Α. | Yes. When we filed the testimony, it was using |
| 8 | | projections for November and December data. Now that |
| 9 | | the year is over, we actually have actuals to put in |
| 10 | | place. |
| 11 | Q. | Thank you. If you would continue. |
| 12 | Α. | Okay. Same page, Page 4, Line 21, "13 Class II RECs" |
| 13 | | should read "14". Page 5, Line 6, "676 megawatt-hours" |
| 14 | | should read "711 megawatt-hours". The "\$17,999" should |
| 15 | | read "\$17,837". Page 5, Line 8, "\$6,486" should read |
| 16 | | "\$6,324". Line 9, "\$9,080" should read "\$11,272". |
| 17 | | Line 10, "\$2,594" should read "4,948". Line 13, "over |
| 18 | | collection" should be changed to "under collection". |
| 19 | | And, Line 14, "\$1,169" should read "\$1,185". And, |
| 20 | | that's it. |
| 21 | Q. | Thank you. With those updates, if I were to ask you |
| 22 | | the questions that are in your testimony today, would |
| 23 | | your answers be the same? |
| 24 | Α. | Yes. |

| 1 | | |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | Q. | And, they are true and your testimony is true and |
| 2 | | correct to the best of your knowledge? |
| 3 | A. | Yes. |
| 4 | | MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. I have no |
| 5 | fu | rther questions for Mr. Branch. |
| 6 | | CMSR. IGNATIUS: Can I ask a question |
| 7 | ab | out one of the corrections, because it I think I must |
| 8 | ha | ve written it down, because the sentences make no sense |
| 9 | to | me. |
| 10 | BY C | MSR. IGNATIUS: |
| 11 | Q. | If you look at Page 5, Line 12, |
| 12 | A. | Yes. |
| 13 | Q. | can you just read what the correct language would be |
| 14 | | for the first two sentences? |
| 15 | A. | Sure. The corrected language? |
| 16 | Q. | Yes. |
| 17 | A. | "In the 2010 calendar year, PSNH over collected \$3,763. |
| 18 | | PSNH proposes to use this over collection to offset the |
| 19 | | under collection in 2011." |
| 20 | Q. | All right. I'm sorry. I thought you had said to |
| 21 | | change one of those "overs" to an "under"? |
| 22 | A. | I'm sorry. I apologize. Line 13, it's the second |
| 23 | | instance of "over". |
| 24 | Q. | Oh. |
| | | |

| 1 | А. | Should be "under". |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 3 | | WITNESS BRANCH: Sorry. |
| 4 | BY M | IS. KNOWLTON: |
| 5 | Q. | So, Mr. Branch, just to make clear for the record then, |
| б | | that second sentence on Line 13 should say "The net |
| 7 | | remaining under collection of"? |
| 8 | Α. | That's correct. |
| 9 | | CMSR. IGNATIUS: Okay. |
| 10 | | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Hatfield. |
| 11 | | MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 12 | Go | od morning, Mr. Branch. |
| 13 | | WITNESS BRANCH: Good morning. |
| 14 | | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 15 | BY M | IS. HATFIELD: |
| 16 | Q. | Could you please turn to Page 3 of your testimony. |
| 17 | А. | I'm there. |
| 18 | Q. | On Line 14, you discuss "Class I" and "Class II |
| 19 | | generation resources". Do you see that? |
| 20 | Α. | Yes. |
| 21 | Q. | Does that mean that the Company is only using Class I |
| 22 | | and Class II RECs for the renewable rate? |
| 23 | Α. | That's correct. |
| 24 | Q. | Did you attend the technical session on January 6th |
| | | {DE 11-255} {01-26-12} |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | | that related to this docket? |
| 2 | Α. | I did. |
| 3 | Q. | Have you reviewed the letter that Attorney Amidon filed |
| 4 | | about that technical session on January 24th? |
| 5 | Α. | I did. |
| 6 | Q. | Does the Company agree with the description of the |
| 7 | | discussion that day? |
| 8 | Α. | Yes. |
| 9 | Q. | Would you turn to the second page of that letter |
| 10 | | please. |
| 11 | Α. | I'm there. |
| 12 | Q. | In the second to last paragraph, there's a sentence |
| 13 | | that states that "The Companies, the OCA, and Staff |
| 14 | | agreed that the Renewable Energy Program should |
| 15 | | continue be promoted in 2012". Do you see that? |
| 16 | Α. | Yes. |
| 17 | Q. | And, then, that paragraph goes on to discuss the fact |
| 18 | | that "the Companies should focus on low cost options |
| 19 | | for marketing". Can you just discuss some of the |
| 20 | | things that PSNH might explore in order to keep |
| 21 | | marketing costs to a minimum? |
| 22 | Α. | Sure. In addition to things that we are already doing, |
| 23 | | such as radio tags on New Hampshire Public Radio; a |
| 24 | | message on our IVR system, when someone phones the |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | | 1-800 number; Facebook; Twitter; sending brochures to |
| 2 | | customer events and trade shows; billing newsletters, |
| 3 | | bill stuffers; and targeted e-mail programs. |
| 4 | Q. | And, is the Company also willing to promote the program |
| 5 | | in situations when it's promoting other programs or |
| 6 | | when it's attending conferences or trade shows and that |
| 7 | | sort of thing? |
| 8 | Α. | Yes. |
| 9 | Q. | The Company does a survey of participants, is that |
| 10 | | correct? |
| 11 | Α. | That's correct. |
| 12 | Q. | Do you think that that provides useful information for |
| 13 | | determining how you should market the program? |
| 14 | A. | Yes. |
| 15 | Q. | And, do you use that information for that purpose? |
| 16 | A. | Yes. |
| 17 | Q. | Can you share any of the customer feedback that you've |
| 18 | | received about the program? |
| 19 | A. | In terms of how they feel about the program? |
| 20 | Q. | Sure. |
| 21 | A. | I've not really had the survey doesn't ask those |
| 22 | | types of questions. It asks how you came to how you |
| 23 | | learned about the rate, some social/economic kinds of |
| 24 | | data, employment, income. It doesn't really ask their |
| | | |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|--|
| 1 | | exactly how they feel. It asks what their interest |
| 2 | | is and what they hope to accomplish. |
| 3 | Q. | Do you recall the most common way that people learned |
| 4 | | about the program? |
| 5 | А. | Yes. Forty-two (42) percent of the survey respondents |
| 6 | | came via bill inserts. |
| 7 | Q. | And, PSNH has a fair amount of information about the |
| 8 | | program on your website, is that right? |
| 9 | A. | That's correct. |
| 10 | Q. | And, is it true that, that on your main web page, at |
| 11 | | the top of the page, in the main banner, if you will, |
| 12 | | there's a rotating description of the program? |
| 13 | A. | That's correct. |
| 14 | Q. | And, then, you have a full page devoted to it? |
| 15 | A. | That's correct. |
| 16 | Q. | And, you also have a calculator, is that right? |
| 17 | A. | That's correct, yes. |
| 18 | Q. | And, that allows customers to plug in what their usage |
| 19 | | might be and they can sort of test the cost impact of |
| 20 | | the different choices? |
| 21 | A. | Correct. |
| 22 | Q. | Do you think that that's a useful tool for customers? |
| 23 | A. | I think so. Yes. |
| 24 | | MS. HATFIELD: Thank you. I have |
| | | $\{ DE 11 - 255 \} $ $\{ 01 - 26 - 12 \}$ |

 $\{ DE \ 11-255 \} \quad \{ 01-26-12 \}$

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | no | thing further. |
| 2 | | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Amidon. |
| 3 | | MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Good morning. |
| 4 | | WITNESS BRANCH: Good morning. |
| 5 | BY M | S. AMIDON: |
| 6 | Q. | Mr. Branch, do you have the data requests that Staff |
| 7 | | issued in this docket? And, I'm looking particularly |
| 8 | | at Number 2 and Number 3. |
| 9 | A. | I do. |
| 10 | Q. | And, Number 2 asks for the assumptions used by the |
| 11 | | Company in calculating the rate, is that fair to say? |
| 12 | Α. | Yes. |
| 13 | Q. | And, in terms of the price assumption for Class II in |
| 14 | | calculating the rate, the Company used \$50 per REC or |
| 15 | | per megawatt-hour, is this right? |
| 16 | A. | That's correct. |
| 17 | Q. | However, in, and I just want to understand the |
| 18 | | relationship between these two pieces of information, |
| 19 | | in Staff Data Request 2, there is a summary of supplied |
| 20 | | broker sheets for RECs. And, in this instance, Class |
| 21 | | II RECs are listed at "\$45" instead of the \$50. So, |
| 22 | | could you explain the difference between those two? |
| 23 | A. | Sure. In Data Request Staff 2, the \$50 Class II price |
| 24 | | assumption is for a 2012 vintage REC. And, in Staff |
| | | |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | Question 3, the \$45 Class II is for a 2011 vintage REC. |
| 2 | Q. | Thank you. And, do you know how this compares with the |
| 3 | | estimates that the Company used in its Default Service |
| 4 | | filing? |
| 5 | Α. | I am not aware. |
| 6 | Q. | Okay. Thank you. In terms of the rate, which is I |
| 7 | | think described in a tariff that's attached to your |
| 8 | | testimony, the rate, and I don't have a number, |
| 9 | | unfortunately, for this, the heading is "NHPUC Number 8 |
| 10 | | - Electricity Delivery". It's the "Second Revised Page |
| 11 | | 96". I'm looking at one that has a redaction. I think |
| 12 | | it may be the last page of the last thing that's |
| 13 | | attached to your testimony. Do you see it? |
| 14 | Α. | I do not have that in front of me. |
| 15 | | MS. AMIDON: Could I I was going to |
| 16 | ju | st approach. Do you mind? |
| 17 | | MS. KNOWLTON: Sure. |
| 18 | | MS. AMIDON: So, I'll show you what I'm |
| 19 | re | ferring to. |
| 20 | | WITNESS BRANCH: Yes. |
| 21 | | MS. AMIDON: Okay. |
| 22 | BY M | S. AMIDON: |
| 23 | Q. | And, if you want to look at that. I just the only |
| 24 | | question that I have is, is it fair to say that the |
| | | {DE 11-255} {01-26-12} |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | | rates per kilowatt-hour for each of the three options |
| 2 | | that the Company makes available under its Renewable |
| 3 | | Service Option are just about doubling, is that fair to |
| 4 | | say? |
| 5 | A. | Just about doubling, yes. |
| 6 | Q. | When Attorney Hatfield was asking you about |
| 7 | | participation in the rate and what incented people to |
| 8 | | get into the rate, you were describing the various |
| 9 | | marketing strategies and some of the results from the |
| 10 | | survey. Have you found in any of this that the price |
| 11 | | or the cost, as demonstrated by the rate, was a barrier |
| 12 | | or a disincentive for customer participation in the |
| 13 | | Renewable Energy Program? Or, do you have anything |
| 14 | | that you can any observations you can make in that |
| 15 | | regard? |
| 16 | A. | Yes. I'm actually just looking through the survey |
| 17 | | questions, if you let me have a sec. We ask a question |
| 18 | | "what aspect of the rate do you think about the most |
| 19 | | "what aspect of the rate did you think about the most |
| 20 | | when signing up?" And, only 26 percent said the |
| 21 | | monthly cost was a factor. |
| 22 | Q. | This was before this proposal, though? The proposal |
| 23 | | would double the rate? |
| 24 | A. | That's correct. |
| | | |

| 1 | Q. | And, so, that comment was reflecting the |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | A. | The current situation. |
| 3 | Q. | the current rate, okay. Do you have any basis, and |
| 4 | | you may not, to know whether the cost in the rate is |
| 5 | | reasonable or unreasonable? |
| 6 | А. | Could you repeat the question? |
| 7 | Q. | Well, I just wanted to find out from you if you had |
| 8 | | done any if you had any basis, in terms of maybe |
| 9 | | looking at your sister companies, to determine whether |
| 10 | | this Renewable Energy Service Option rate was a |
| 11 | | reasonable rate or was it higher or lower than any |
| 12 | | other jurisdictions? Do you have any basis to make any |
| 13 | | kind of a comparison? |
| 14 | А. | I don't. I would just say that the rate that we're |
| 15 | | proposing is a reflection of the current REC market. |
| 16 | Q. | Okay. That's a good answer. Thanks. I was interested |
| 17 | | in the update to your testimony, of course, you now |
| 18 | | have my copy of that, but I think it's on Page 5. And, |
| 19 | | the issue has to do with what was once an "over |
| 20 | | collection" now being an "under collection". Could you |
| 21 | | explain how that affects the holding amounts in escrow |
| 22 | | and the rest of the statement, which I think is the |
| 23 | | third sentence in that section that you revised, |
| 24 | | beginning on Line 13 on Page 5? |

| [WITNESS: | Branch] |
|-----------|---------|
|-----------|---------|

| i | - | |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | A. | In terms of the net amount, do you mean? |
| 2 | Q. | Well, if I read it with your revision, you say "The net |
| 3 | | remaining under collection of \$1,185, including |
| 4 | | interest, will remain in the escrow account." |
| 5 | А. | Uh-huh. |
| 6 | Q. | How does the under collection remain in an escrow |
| 7 | | account? |
| 8 | А. | I apologize. I'm not really sure I understand how our |
| 9 | | accounts work. If we could take that as a record |
| 10 | | request to get back to you on that? |
| 11 | Q. | Right. But, I mean, is it fair to say that there is an |
| 12 | | under collection which you will be looking to recover |
| 13 | | later at some point? |
| 14 | A. | That's correct. |
| 15 | Q. | Okay. So, there's no longer an escrow, in the sense |
| 16 | | that it has that there's money available to cover |
| 17 | | future costs for this program. It is now an under |
| 18 | | collection, which would have to be recovered through |
| 19 | | rates? |
| 20 | A. | I believe so. |
| 21 | Q. | Okay. I just wanted to get that clarified. Thank you. |
| 22 | | Under the statute, and this is basically my last |
| 23 | | question, there are a couple options that the utilities |
| 24 | | actually have, it's at RSA 374-F:3, V, and the section |
| | | |

[WITNESS: Branch]

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | | on the Renewable Energy Option Program is (f). And, |
| 2 | | I'm looking at Paragraph 8 of that. The section reads |
| 3 | | "If RES Default Service is not available for purchase |
| 4 | | at a reasonable cost on behalf of consumers choosing an |
| 5 | | RES Default Service Option, a utility may, as approved |
| б | | by the Commission, make payments to the Renewable |
| 7 | | Energy Fund created pursuant to RSA 362-F:10 on behalf |
| 8 | | of customers." |
| 9 | | Has the Company ever considered using |
| 10 | | this approach, rather than the approach that they set |
| 11 | | out in the initial filing? |
| 12 | A. | We've discussed it in a very generic sense. |
| 13 | Q. | Is it would it be fair to say that the Company |
| 14 | | doesn't have enough experience yet with this program to |
| 15 | | determine whether that would be an appropriate option? |
| 16 | A. | I think that's certainly one issue, yes. |
| 17 | Q. | And, in Section 10 of subparagraph (f), it states "A |
| 18 | | utility, with Commission approval, may require that a |
| 19 | | minimum number of customers, or a minimum amount of |
| 20 | | load, choose to participate in the program in order to |
| 21 | | offer an RES Option." Has the utility considered this |
| 22 | | as a possible option, in terms of requiring a minimum |
| 23 | | amount of load or customers in order to continue with |
| 24 | | the Renewable Energy Service Program? |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|----|--|
| 1 | А. | It's not been discussed. |
| 2 | Q. | Okay. Thank you. And, I have one final question on |
| 3 | | the marketing. I think you responded, in your response |
| 4 | | to Ms. Hatfield regarding the marketing efforts, you |
| 5 | | said you did "targeted marketing" as well. Could you |
| 6 | | briefly describe that. |
| 7 | Α. | I believe her question was asking about what we would |
| 8 | | do in the future. |
| 9 | Q. | Oh. Okay. |
| 10 | Α. | And, we would look at targeted e-mail marketing. |
| 11 | Q. | Okay. And, by "targeted", could you describe how you |
| 12 | | would target the program? |
| 13 | Α. | Well, we know generally where well, we know exactly |
| 14 | | where our Green Rate customers are geographically |
| 15 | | speaking. We would make assumptions that similar |
| 16 | | people, living in similar areas are economically or |
| 17 | | socially in a certain way. And, |
| 18 | Q. | So, you would use this I'm sorry, I interrupted you. |
| 19 | Α. | And, so, we would use that to market to areas, you |
| 20 | | know, using geography, I guess. |
| 21 | Q. | Okay. So, you would use like the data that you had |
| 22 | Α. | Yes. |
| 23 | Q. | in order to identify potential customers? |
| 24 | Α. | Yes. |
| | | |

| | | [WITNESS: Branch] |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | | MS. AMIDON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. |
| 2 | Ch | airman. Nothing further. |
| 3 | | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. |
| 4 | | CMSR. IGNATIUS: I do have a few |
| 5 | qu | estions, Mr. Branch. |
| б | BY C | MSR. IGNATIUS: |
| 7 | Q. | I couldn't find any description of your customers in |
| 8 | | the testimony, but the letter from Ms. Amidon, after |
| 9 | | the technical session, states there are "169 PSNH |
| 10 | | customers enrolled", is that right? |
| 11 | A. | As of the end of December, we have 166 customers. |
| 12 | Q. | All right. And, you're right, this is dated as of |
| 13 | | October. And, how do they break out in terms of what |
| 14 | | level of customer they are? Are they residential? |
| 15 | | Small commercial? |
| 16 | A. | They are predominantly residential. We have a couple |
| 17 | | of Rate G customers, so small commercial, and we have |
| 18 | | one LG customer, who's a large commercial. |
| 19 | Q. | The corrections you made were relatively small, in |
| 20 | | terms of dollar figures. Do they have any impact on |
| 21 | | the rates that you're proposing? |
| 22 | A. | No. |
| 23 | Q. | So, the numbers that we see in the final page of your |
| 24 | | testimony are what would be the proposed rates? |

| 1 | A. Exactly. Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And, the proposed effective date had been for |
| 3 | January 1, 2012. But, because this was not scheduled |
| 4 | until into January, what is the proposed effective date |
| 5 | now? |
| 6 | A. I think we would respectfully request March 1st. |
| 7 | CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. Nothing |
| 8 | else. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Any redirect, |
| 10 | Ms. Knowlton? |
| 11 | MS. KNOWLTON: I have none. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Then, hearing nothing |
| 13 | else, the witness is excused. Thank you. |
| 14 | Is there any objection to striking the |
| 15 | identification and admitting the exhibit into evidence? |
| 16 | (No verbal response) |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection, it |
| 18 | will be admitted into evidence. Is there anything to |
| 19 | address before opportunity for closings? |
| 20 | (No verbal response) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, Ms. |
| 22 | Hatfield. |
| 23 | MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 24 | The OCA has no objection to PSNH's filing. And, we wanted |
| | {DE 11-255} {01-26-12} |

| 1 | to note that we appreciate all of the Company's efforts in |
|----|--|
| 2 | marketing the program. And, we wanted to especially note |
| 3 | PSNH's website. As I discussed with Mr. Branch, it is |
| 4 | quite extensive. And, we think that the way that they |
| 5 | have approached it on their website, by providing |
| б | customers with actually a calculator to look at what the |
| 7 | impact of choosing the rate would be, is especially |
| 8 | useful. And, we've heard from customers of other |
| 9 | utilities that they have actually used that calculator |
| 10 | just to get a sense of what the price impact would be, |
| 11 | even though the utilities do have some difference in the |
| 12 | pricing. And, we also wanted to just thank the Company |
| 13 | for its willingness to work with the OCA and Staff while |
| 14 | we sort of test this program and see how it's working. |
| 15 | I did just want to take a moment, Mr. |
| 16 | Chairman, and thank you for all of your patience and |
| 17 | guidance, and the way that you have very effectively and |
| 18 | fairly run such an amazingly diverse range of hearings, |
| 19 | from Sunday hearings in the FairPoint case, to hearings in |
| 20 | the Laidlaw case, where each day, at the end of the |
| 21 | hearing, we didn't know when we'd pick up again. |
| 22 | So, I just wanted to thank you very |
| 23 | much. It's been a pleasure to appear before you. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Amidon. |
| | |

| 1 | MS. AMIDON: Thank you. The Staff wants |
|----|---|
| 2 | to also thank the Company. They did ask for the rate to |
| 3 | be effective January 1, but they very cordially and |
| 4 | cooperatively agreed to the meeting that we had in early |
| 5 | January with the rest of the companies, and that's proved |
| 6 | most helpful. And, I think, as Attorney Hatfield |
| 7 | mentioned, the fact that the Company has such an |
| 8 | accessible website, in terms of being able to access |
| 9 | information about this program, was maybe an eye-opener |
| 10 | for another one of the electric utilities that did not |
| 11 | have that easy access. So, it has been very helpful |
| 12 | working with them. And, having said that, we reviewed the |
| 13 | docket, and we have no objection to the rates going into |
| 14 | effect. |
| 15 | And, I, too, want to recognize you, Mr. |
| 16 | Chairman. It's been wonderful working for you. You have |
| 17 | brought an even-tempered handling of the worse possible |
| 18 | situations, and have always been loyal to the Staff. I |
| 19 | very much appreciate those things. Thank you. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. |
| 21 | Ms. Knowlton. |
| 22 | MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. The Company |
| 23 | would ask that the Commission approve the proposed rate |
| 24 | for this program. As Mr. Branch testified, that rate is |
| | {DE 11-255} {01-26-12} |

1 based on market costs. When the Commission initially approved this program via Order 25,080, the Commission 2 3 recognized the importance of having a rate that could be 4 adjusted every six months, so that rate more closely 5 aligned with the market prices for RECs. And, in this 6 case, as Mr. Branch has testified, the Company went out and it got broker sheets, it solicited information about 7 what is currently going on in the market for RECs. And, 8 9 it set its rates -- its proposed rate based on that 10 information. So, I believe that the rate is just and 11 reasonable and ask that the Commission approve it. I'd like to echo the comments of counsel 12 13 for OCA and the Staff. And, I'm not going to say any more 14 at this time, I'm going to wait till Monday, when I'll say 15 more then. So, thank you very much. 16 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. Then, we'll close the hearing and take the matter under 17 18 advisement. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Not so fast. 19 20 It's closed. CHAIRMAN GETZ: 21 CMSR. IGNATIUS: It's not closed. Ι 22 told you I wasn't going to do this and I lied. I just 23 wanted to, this is your last scheduled -- oh, dear. This 24 is your last scheduled hearing. And, on behalf of all of

 $\{ DE \ 11-255 \} \ \{ 01-26-12 \}$

| 1 | the Commission Staff, the Commissioners, thank you. And, |
|----|--|
| 2 | we will miss you. And, good luck. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. I'm going to get |
| 4 | out of here before I turn into a blubbering mess. |
| 5 | (Spirited Applause.) |
| б | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you, everyone. |
| 7 | (Whereupon the hearing ended at 10:28 |
| 8 | a.m.) |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | $\{ DE \ 11-255 \} \ \{ 01-26-12 \}$ |